

## Exercises

- On the examples in previous lectures
- Identifying problem frames in common problems

# Discussion

- Case study for the course





- Reader ~ Real-word
- BUT:
  - The real information about the real world might be unknown to the system
  - Especially when presenting estimates, important to give ETA of the "next update"
  - Alert Reader when new data is displayed
    - Multiple channels

13:37	vrijdag 1 april			
rime Destination	Flight Gate			
11:55 Pisa	FR 9924			
11:55 Prague	W6 2642 1			
13:40 Wroclaw	W6 1826 4			
14:15 Faro	FR 7412			
14:30 Katowice	W6 1072			
14:35 Rome CIA	FR 9616			
15:15 Malaga	HV 6653			
16:20 Stockholm NYO	FR 1823			
16:20 Dublin	FR 1965			
16:40 Innsbruck	HV 6685			
17:30 Tenerife	HV 215			
gemaakt+++				

- Conflicting goals among stakeholders
- Airport
  - Wants to provide information for the efficient dispatch of passengers
  - Wants to avoid protests and disturbances
- Passenger
  - Wants accurate reporting and honest forecasts

13:37	vrijdag 1 april
time Destination	Flight Gate
11:55 Pisa	FR 9924
11:55 Prague	W6 2642 1
13:40 Wroclaw Laatste opro	W6 1826 4
14:15 Faro	FR 7412
14:30 Katowice	W6 1072
14:35 Rome CIA	FR 9616
15:15 Malaga	HV 6653
16:20 Stockholm NYO	FR 1823
16:20 Dublin	FR 1965
16:40 Innsbruck	HV 6685
17:30 Tenerife	HV 215
oemaakt+++	

- Conflicting goals among stakeholders
- Airline
  - Wants to avoid loosing passengers to other means of travels (= reassurance)
  - Wants to avoid penalties linked to excessive delays
- Developer
  - Wants to accommodate all the various needs

- All parties comfortable with downplaying unpleasant info (denial)
- Compare: IE progress bar



N					1
	C.				
AR.)	010	ARRIVI -	ARRIVA	LS	
YANAI I <sup>FR</sup>	9924	EINDHOVEN	13:45	16:52	ATTERRATO
YANAI.	1234	Frankfurt HAHN	4 - 4 -		
	7395	PARIS C. De Gaulle	17:25	17:23	LANDED
Marine TP	7166	ROMA Fiumicino	18:35		
YANAIRER	9326	VALENCIA VALENCIA	19:25		
YANAIR <sup>FR</sup>	9382	SANTANDER	19:30		
ANAIR <sup>FR</sup>	9929	ALGHERO	19:30		
<b>ANAIR<sup>FR</sup></b>	9932	CAGLIARI	19:45		
Galile	i Internal	ional Airport	01 aprile 2011 10 @LG	5.59	Pagina 1/2

- Once "out of the system", no need to downplay
- Passenger's goal somewhat satisfied
- Home sweet
  home!

### Exercise (Controlled behaviour)

 Can you write formally S, D and R for the dam example and satisfy the concern?



### Exercise (Controlled behaviour)

- Assume now that DM is linked to the actual dam through a connection domain
- Identify properties that the connection domain must satisfy so that the correctness argument still holds
- Consider several common implementation technologies for the connection domain, and discuss their properties as above



### Exercise (Commanded behaviour)

- Can you write formally S, D and R for the dam example and satisfy the concern?
  - A little more complex, try it offline



### **Exercise (Information display)**

- Describe and prove the correctness concern for the Dam display problem
  - You will need to write R, D and S
  - What can be learned about risks with the dam?



#### **Exercise (Simple Workpiece vs. Commanded Behaviour)**

- If Commanded Behaviour and Simple Workpiece are so similar, why are they two distinct frames?
- Spot the differences!

### **Planning session**

- Order of business
  - Choosing a suitable sample problem
  - Sketch a distributed application solution
  - Discuss implementation options
  - Select technologies
  - AoB